

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,907	LUMLEY ET AL.
	Examiner G. Nagesh Rao	Art Unit 1722

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) G. Nagesh Rao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Brown.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 and ODP

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Lumley US Patent No. 6,716,015

#### Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/18/06  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated to Mr. Brown that the current after final claims put the case in condition for allowance. However as amended they created a potential ODP with US Patent No. 6,716,015. Examiner advised applicant to file a TD in order to overcome the ODP rejection.

  
12/8/06